This Protocol considers this test or procedure investigational. If the physician feels this service is medically necessary, preauthorization is recommended.

The following Protocol contains medical necessity criteria that apply for this service. The criteria are also applicable to services provided in the local Medicare Advantage operating area for those members, unless separate Medicare Advantage criteria are indicated. If the criteria are not met, reimbursement will be denied and the patient cannot be billed. Please note that payment for covered services is subject to eligibility and the limitations noted in the patient’s contract at the time the services are rendered.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Populations</th>
<th>Interventions</th>
<th>Comparators</th>
<th>Outcomes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Individuals:</td>
<td>Interventions of interest are:</td>
<td>Comparators of interest are:</td>
<td>Relevant outcomes include:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Who are undergoing spinal fusion</td>
<td>• Interspinous fixation devices with interbody fusion</td>
<td>• Pedicle screw constructs</td>
<td>• Symptoms</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>• Functional outcomes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>• Quality of life</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>• Resource utilization</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>• Treatment-related morbidity</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Individuals:</td>
<td>Interventions of interest are:</td>
<td>Comparators of interest are:</td>
<td>Relevant outcomes include:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• With spinal stenosis and/or spondylolisthesis</td>
<td>• Interspinous fixation devices alone</td>
<td>• Decompression</td>
<td>• Symptoms</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>• Posterolateral fusion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>• Interbody fusion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>• Functional outcomes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>• Quality of life</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>• Resource utilization</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>• Treatment-related morbidity</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Description**

Interspinous fixation (fusion) devices are being developed to aid in the stabilization of the spine. They are being evaluated as alternatives to pedicle screw and rod constructs in combination with interbody fusion. Interspinous fixation devices are also being evaluated for stand-alone use in patients with spinal stenosis and/or spondylolisthesis.

**Summary of Evidence**

The evidence on interspinous fixation (fusion) devices in patients who are undergoing interbody fusion or who have spinal stenosis and/or spondylolisthesis includes a small prospective series and retrospective series. Relevant outcomes are symptoms, functional outcomes, quality of life, resource utilization, and treatment-related morbidity. There is a lack of evidence on the efficacy of interspinous fixation devices, both for use in combination with interbody fusion and for use as a stand-alone procedure. Randomized controlled trials are needed that evaluate health outcomes following use of interspinous fixation (fusion) devices in comparison with the established standard of pedicle screw-rod fixation. Clinical trials are also needed to evaluate these devices...
when used alone for decompression. The evidence is insufficient to determine the effects of the technology on health outcomes.

Policy
Interspinous fixation (fusion) devices are considered investigational for any indication, including but not limited to use:
- in combination with interbody fusion, or
- alone for decompression in patients with spinal stenosis.

Policy Guidelines
Clinical input has identified potential exceptions where the devices might be considered medically necessary, such as patients with small pedicles where pedicle screws could not be safely placed.

Background
Contemporary models of interspinous fixation devices have evolved from spinous process wiring with bone blocks and early device designs (e.g., Wilson plate, Meurig-Williams system, Daab plate). The newer devices range from paired plates with teeth to U-shaped devices with wings that are attached to the spinous process. They are intended to be an alternative to pedicle screw and rod constructs to aid in the stabilization of the spine with interbody fusion. Interspinous fixation devices are placed under direct visualization, while screw and rod systems may be placed either under direct visualization or percutaneously. Use of an interspinous fixation device in combination with a unilateral pedicle screw system has also been proposed. Interspinous fixation devices are not intended for stand-alone use.

Interspinous fixation (fusion) devices contrast with interspinous distraction devices (spacers), which are used alone for decompression and are typically not fixed to the spinous process (see the Interspinous and Interlaminar Stabilization/Distraction Devices (Spacers) Protocol). In addition, whereas interspinous distraction devices may use dynamic stabilization, interspinous fixation devices are rigid. However, the fixation devices might also be used to distract the spinous processes and decrease lordosis. Thus, the fixation devices might be used off-label without interbody fusion as decompression (distraction) devices in patients with spinal stenosis. If fixation devices are used alone as a spacer, there is a risk of spinous process fracture.

For use in combination with fusion, it is proposed that interspinous fixation systems are less invasive and present fewer risks than pedicle or facet screws. However, while biomechanical studies indicate that interspinous fixation devices may be similar to pedicle screw-rod constructs in limiting the range of flexion-extension, they may be less effective than bilateral pedicle screw-rod fixation for limiting axial rotation and lateral bending. There is a potential for a negative impact on the interbody cage and bone graft due to focal kyphosis resulting from the interspinous device. There is also a potential for spinous process fracture. Given these uncertainties, studies are needed that compare health outcomes between interspinous fixation devices and pedicle screw-rod fixation.

Regulatory Status
The following interspinous fixation devices have received clearance to market by the U.S. Food and Drug Administration (FDA). This may not be an exhaustive list.
• Affix™ (NuVasive)
• Aileron™ (Life Spine)
• Aspen™ (Lanx, acquired by BioMet)
• Axle™ (X-Spine)
• BacFuse® (Pioneer Surgical)
• BridgePoint™ (Alphatec Spine)
• coflex-F® (Paradigm Spine)
• Inspan™ (Spine Frontier)
• Interbridge Interspinous Posterior Fixation System (LDR Spine)
• Minuteman™ (Spinal Simplicity)
• PrimaLOK™ (OsteoMed)
• Octave™ (Life Spine)
• Spire™ (Medtronic)
• SP-Fix™ (Globus)
• Zip Mis Interspinous Fusion System

Interspinous fixation devices are intended to be used as an adjunct to interbody fusion. For example, the indication for use of the coflex-F implant:

“is a posterior, nonpedicle supplemental fixation device intended for use with an interbody cage as an adjunct to fusion at a single level in the lumbar spine (L1-S1). It is intended for attachment to the spinous processes for the purpose of achieving stabilization to promote fusion in patients with degenerative disc disease – defined as back pain of discogenic origin with degeneration of the disc confirmed by history and radiographic studies – with up to Grade 1 spondylolisthesis.”

Use of an interspinous fixation device for a stand-alone procedure would be considered off-label. FDA Product code: PEK.

Related Protocol
Interspinous and Interlaminar Stabilization/Distraction Devices (Spacers)

Services that are the subject of a clinical trial do not meet our Technology Assessment Protocol criteria and are considered investigational. For explanation of experimental and investigational, please refer to the Technology Assessment Protocol.

It is expected that only appropriate and medically necessary services will be rendered. We reserve the right to conduct prepayment and postpayment reviews to assess the medical appropriateness of the above-referenced procedures. Some of this Protocol may not pertain to the patients you provide care to, as it may relate to products that are not available in your geographic area.
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